

**R.M. of Lorne Zoning By-Law # 1682**  
**October 24, 2005**  
**Summary Table**  
**(September 13, 2005 Public Hearing)**

Section/Suggested Change/Issues	Proposal/Response
<p><b>1. Part 2 General Regulations 2.3 Maximum one dwelling per parcel of land (pg 2)</b> You should pull this clause from the by-laws (TR4)</p>	<p>Clause remains, however provisions have been added to allow for more than one dwelling in a number of situations</p>
<p><b>2. Performance Standards from Table 4.1 1.3 Designated Communities Livestock Mgt Areas (Pg 17) The proposed by-laws should respect neighbouring municipalities</b> You should amend the by-laws to be complimentary to zoning and setback distances in the by-laws for neighbouring municipalities to help prevent ‘across border’ municipal disputes between residents. (TR2/10) (BH2)</p>	<p>Provisions have been made to establish set backs along water ways in keeping with the spirit of R.M. of Dufferin’s Zoning By-law</p>
<p><b>3. Performance Standards from Table 4.1 1.4 Mutual Good Neighbours Separation Distances (Pg 25)</b> You need to increase the setback distances for confined animal operations. An open forum with your electorate would help establish setbacks that are more acceptable (TR6)</p>	<p>No increase in setbacks beyond the Farm Practice Guidelines, except for along Roseisle and Lyle Creeks</p>
<p><b>4. Part 5: Confined Livestock Production Operations (Pg 36)</b> The by-laws should establish a cap (maximum) on the size of animal operations allowed in the municipality – just as some other municipalities have one in their zoning by-laws (TR5)</p>	<p>Cap of 250 AUs set along Roseisle/Lyle Creeks</p>
<p><b>5. Schedule A Map 1 (Pg 56)</b> The Zoning does <b>not</b> recognise Bruxelles, St. Alphonse or Cardinal as towns (designated communities). Consequently, the by-laws do not even afford these residential areas the 1-mile setback. Rather, they are zoned as Agricultural. (TR3) (CAR2)</p>	<p>As these locations and St. Lupicin are designated “Rural Residential Clusters” in the South Central Planning District Development Plan, they are subject to separation distances of the Farm Practice Guidelines which become regulations in 2006</p>

<p>6. The By-law deserves an open forum with a presentation of information and an opportunity for an open exchange between council and residents (TR1)</p>	<p>- Public consultations have occurred during the drafting of the Dev Plan and Zoning By-law</p>
<p>7. South Norfolk and Victoria both have a <b>Rural Residential</b> Zone intended, among other things to protect riparian habitat, to reduce erosion risk, to minimize the risk for harmful substances/materials from entering the watercourse, and to protect water quality and fish habitat. Why would you exclude <b>Rural Residential</b> zoning in our by-laws? (RC1)</p>	<p>- A Rural Residential Zone is proposed and will apply to Bruxelles, St. Alphonse, Cardinal, St. Lupicin and the southern basin of Lake Seven</p>
<p>8. The by-laws should promote livestock operations utilizing dry manure storage. The NFU has recommended dry manure systems to the Province. (TR7)</p>	<p>No Change</p>
<p>9. Concern with water quality and in particular, the Stephenfield Lake Watershed, which includes the Boyne River, Roseisle Creek and Lyle Creek and associated tributaries (DUF1)</p>	<p>AL-2 Zone proposed for Snow Valley - both creeks to be buffered</p>
<p>10. The by-laws should state that an ILO must own the land where they spread manure as a way of minimizing the size of an operation (TR8)</p>	<p>No Change</p>
<p>11. The by-law must absolutely provide localized protection of our ground and surface water if we expect people to live here. (TR9)</p>	<p>- Snow Valley to be protected and Roseisle and Lyle Creeks are to be buffered</p>
<p>12. "Promote tourism activities" protection of our natural areas would be a good start in supporting this idea (TR11)</p>	<p>Taken as info</p>
<p>13. "Explore Value added opportunities with small scale operations like organic food production" (TR12)</p>	<p>Specialized Agr. Operations are accommodated in AG Zone</p>
<p>14. The by-laws should identify the primary roads in the municipality along with some standard for their quality of maintenance, serviceability and safety. (TR13)</p>	<p>Taken as info</p>

15. The by-laws should also state some standard for human sewage lagoons (TR14)	No Change
16. Need to Protect assets such as Café Bru, Our natural Wildlife areas, Lake Seven, St Lupicin Craft Gallery (TR15)	St. Lupicin/Lake Seven to be zoned RR
17. Create buffer zones near water ways. Establish a ½ mile set back on both sides of Lyle Creek and designate any ILO up to 250 Aus as a conditional use (BH1)	1/8 mile (660') buffer proposed along Roseisle and Lyle Creeks
18. Create a one mile separation distance between ILOs (greater than 300 AUs and Cardinal (CAR1)	Farm Practice Guidelines (FPG) apply to Cardinal - A 300 AU livestock operation with an earthen storage would need to consider a set back of 1 mile from Cardinal - In 2006 the (FPG) become requirements
19. We are concerned about the restrictions of building homes in the rural areas (DH1)	More than one residence per parcel will be allowed in cases where it is related to an agr./ind/comm. operation - For cottages in a Seasonal Development - For garden suites accessory to a primary residence

BH – Bill Harrison

CAR – Cardinal Residence

DH – Diane Hindle

DUF – R.M. of Dufferin, Town of Carman, Pembina Valley Water Corporative

RC – Roseisle Creek Water Shed Association

TR – Ted Ross

W:\rdvced\Planning Districts\South Central\Lorne\ZBL 1682\Summary Table September 13, 2005